

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,193	05/11/2001	Greta Van Den Berghe	6296.204-US	5893	
75	90 04/11/2003				
Reza Green, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Novo Nordisk of North America, Inc. 405 Lexington Avenue, Suite 6400 New York, NY 10174-6401			KAM, CH	KAM, CHIH MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653 DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	lo	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/853,193	VAN DEN BERGHE, GRETA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Min Kam	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover shet with the c rrespondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	. is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Delivational Tradesis of Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15 and 19-21, drawn to a method of treating a patient suffering from critically ill polyneuropathy (CIPNP), systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), sepsis, or other cited diseases comprising administering a blood glucose regulator, classified in class 514, subclass 3, and class 530, subclass 303.
 - II. Claims 16-18, drawn to advertising material having information on the indications and utilities of a blood glucose regulator, and a method of selling a blood glucose regulator by giving information of about the indications and utilities of a blood glucose regulator, classified in class 283, subclass 56.

Should group I be elected, applicant is required to select one disease from claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 15 and 21, and one type of compounds as a blood glucose regulator (insulin or insulin analogs; compounds that stimulate signal transduction mediated by an insulin receptor type tyrosine kinase; protein-tyrosine phosphatases, type II antidiabetica; or biologically active substances having insulin releasing action) from claims 5 and 21. This is not species election. Each type of compounds are distinct from each other because they have different structures, different mode of operation and different function or effect. Each disease is distinct from each other because the diagnosis of each disease is different, each disease utilizes different drug for treatment and has different outcome.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193

Art Unit: 1653

The method of Invention I is patentably distinct from the method of Invention II because they have different method steps, utilize different materials and produce different results.

The product of Invention II is distinct from the method of Invention I because the product of Invention II can be neither made by nor used in the method of invention I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, and because each invention requires different searches but are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Richard Bork on April 10, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (703) 308-9437. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, Ph. D. can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,193

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. Patent Examiner

April 10, 2003

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600